PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	2	5	MAY	2004
WIE	_			PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	Y		VAIPO			
То:		· ·	PCT			
		1.	rcı			
A.P.T. Patent and Trade Mark Attorney PO Box 222	78		•			
MITCHAM SA 5062		WRI	TTEN OPINION OF THE			
WITCHAM BA 3002	•	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
·		l				
	•		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
1		Date of mailing				
·		(day/month/year) 1 7 MAY 2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION				
2676pct:PJW:AML		See paragraph 2 below				
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/AU2004/000416	29 March 2004		28 March 2003			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classifica	ation and IPC				
Int. Cl. 7 C12N 5/00, 5/08						
Applicant	•					
MEDVET SCIENCE PTY LTD	et al		•			
1,25 (51 5 5 1 1 5 2 5						
1. This opinion contains indications relati	ing to the following its	ran.				
	_	aus.				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	ł .		•			
Box No. II Priority		•				
Box No. III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive step a	and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of inv	ention		•			
	under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i)		inventive step or industrial applicability;			
l 						
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
X Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2. FURTHER ACTION			•			
Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has not Searching Authority will not be so consider	") except that this does n tified the International Bu lered.	not apply where the applic ureau under Rule 66.1 bis	ered to be a written opinion of the International cant chooses an Authority other than this one to (b) that written opinions of this International			
written reply together, where appropriate,	with amendments, befor	re the expiration of 3 mor				
PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
		•				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
·			•			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer				
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE		·				
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRAL	IA	PHILIPPA WYRDEMAN				
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Pacsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02) 6283 2554				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000416

Bo	No. I Basis of the opinion	_
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. type of material	
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material	
	in written format	
	in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international application as filed.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	ł
4.	Additional comments:	
		•
	\cdot	
•		
_		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000416

Box No. V	Reasoned statement un applicability; citations	der Rule and expla	der Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statement						
No	ovelty (N)	Claims	49	YES		
		Claims	1-48, 50-67	· NO		
Inv	ventive step (IS)	Claims	•	YES		
	•	Claims	1-67	NO		
Inc	iustrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-67	YES		
		. Claims		NO		

2. Citations and explanations:

The following documents identified in the International Search Report have been considered for the purposes of this report:

- D1. WO 2001/004268 A1 MEDVET SCIENCE PTY LTD (18 January 2001)
- D2. SHI, S. et al (2001) "Comparison of Human Dental Pulp and Bone Marrow Stromal Stem Cells by cDNA Microarray Analysis" *Bone*, 29(6):532-539.
- D3. JONES, E. A. et al (2002) "Isolation and Characterization of Bone Marrow Multipotential Mesenchymal Progenitor Cells" *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, 46(12):3349-60.
- D4 GRONTHOS, S. et al (2002) "Stem Cell Properties of Human Dental Pulp Stem Cells" J. Dent. Res., 81(8):531-535.

Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS)

D1 teaches a method of enriching mesenchymal precursor cells (MPC) using STRO-1 along with VCAM1, ICAM1, THY-1, CD49/CD29, CD29, Cd61, thrombomodulin, CD10, CD14 and SCF amongst others. D1 also teaches that the cells are +glycophorinA. Since the methods enrich for cells and cell compositions positive for the above, they are prejudicial to the novelty of claims 1-8, 10-13, 15-23, 25-48 and 50-67 that define methods for enriching, cells and compositions comprising various combinations of these markers. It is noted that this citation does not disclose the surface marker 3G5. However, given that the cells of this citation disclose a good proportion of the markers used to enrich for the cells of the present application, it is most likely that 3G5 is, in fact, expressed by the cells of the citation. Merely identifying further characterising features of a known cell does not impart any novelty on that cell.

D2 through D4 all disclose cells that contain various combinations of the surface markers of the present invention that appear to fall within the scope of the claimed cells. They too, do not disclose all of the markers, however there is no evidence to suggest that these markers are not inherently contained on these cells. Thus, as with D1, merely identifying further characterising features of a known cell does not impart any novelty on those cells. Therefore, D2-D4 are novelty destroying for the cells of claims 1-39.

Any one of D2-D4, or D2-D4 combined, provide the person skilled in the art with the means and impetus to make use of the disclosed surface markers to enrich for MPCs. The person skilled in the art is also keen to analyse any cells so enriched for further enrichment mechanisms and would thus be led to find further surface markers of interest and to continue to refine the enrichment process. Given that the surface markers of the cells are considered an inherent feature of the disclosed cells, it would be expected that the person skilled in the art would have found and made use of these thus identifying the surface markers of the invention. Thus, without any evidence or a surprising result or technical difficulty overcome, none of the method claims 40-67 can be considered inventive in light of these documents either singly or together.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000416

Box No. VIII	Certain	observations	on the int	ernational	application
--------------	---------	--------------	------------	------------	-------------

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 11-21, 24-41, 43-46, 48-56, 58-67 are not adequately supported by the description. The description provides that an enriched population of MPCs can be differentiated into two populations discriminated by the marker 3G5 and that those cells +3G5 are considered of interest for neovascularization applications. The key element in this enrichment and all subsequent use is thus the 3G5 surface marker. These claims are not limited to either cells +3G5 or for methods making use of 3G5 and thus they do not enjoy full support from the description.